

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/905,662 | 1 | 07/13/2001 | Yasuhito Inagaki | 09792909-5081 | 5976 |
| 26263 | 7590 | 02/12/2003 | | | |
| SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL | | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080 | | | | KORNAKOV, MICHAIL | |
| CHICAGO, | IL OUGU | 0-1080 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1746 | 7 |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. Applicant(s) Office Action Summary Description | |
|---|-----|
| Office Action Summary Examiner Michael Kornakov 1746 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | |
| Michael Kornakov 1746 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | |
| | |
| · · | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 July 2001</u> . | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application | 1). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | |
| Attachment(s) | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | |

Application/Control Number: 09/905,662 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - 1. Claims 1-5, drawn to a substrate cleaning method, classified in class 134, subclass 18.
 - Claims 6-16, drawn to substrate cleaning apparatus, classified in class 11. 134, subclass 57R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the claimed process can be practiced in cascade type apparatus, which comprises a number of cleaning receptacles and wherein the concentration and composition of cleaning liquid is individually established in each receptacle while the substrate is transferred between the cleaning receptacles. The claimed apparatus can be utilized as the container for storing the liquid media.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,662

Art Unit: 1746

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims of Group II, which are directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - the species of measuring means, described by the instant claims 8, 9, 10, 11
 - the species of measuring means, described by the instant claims13,14,15, 16

 If the claims of Group II are elected for further prosecution on the merits,

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed specie described by claims 8,9,10,11 and a single disclosed specie described by claims 13,14,15,16 to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. An alternative method of election is to identify an Example which collectively exemplifies the elected species.

Currently, claims 7 and 12 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/905,662

Art Unit: 1746

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. D. Metzger, esq., on 01/08/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (703) 305-0400. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,662

Art Unit: 1746

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (703) 308-4333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872 9310 for regular communications and (703) 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 2450.

M. KOPNAPOV

Michael Kornakov Examiner Art Unit 1746

February 7, 2003